STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

HILLSBOROUGH, SS. NORTHERN DISTRICT

SUPERIOR COURT

State of New Hampshire

٧.

Adam Montgomery

Docket No. 216-2022-CR-02372

<u>ORDER</u>

The defendant is charged with second degree murder, abuse of a corpse, falsifying physical evidence, and witness tampering in connection with the death of his daughter, Harmony Montgomery. On April 20, 2023, the Court granted a motion by WMUR-TV to unseal a probable cause affidavit filed in connection with this case. The defendant now moves for reconsideration. WMUR-TV has not responded. The State again takes no position, asking only for twenty-four hours' notice before the document is unsealed to comply with the Victim's Bill of Rights.

A motion for reconsideration "shall state, with particular clarity, points of law or fact that the court has overlooked or misapprehended." <u>Super. Ct. R.</u> 12(e). Here, the defendant first challenges the substance of the Court's decision, arguing the affidavit at issue serves no purpose in the file because it was not introduced in support of a motion or argument by either party. The Court is not persuaded. The defendant cites no authority for the apparent propositions that the affidavit was improperly filed with the Court or that one-sided recitations of prejudicial facts must be excluded from the record. The fact that the defendant did not have an opportunity to respond to the facts contained in the affidavit

does not constitute a sufficiently compelling interest which outweighs the public's right of

access to [court] records." State v. Kibby, 170 N.H. 255, 258 (2017). The defendant will

have every opportunity to challenge the State's position throughout the litigation of this

case.

The defendant argues in the alternative that the probable cause affidavit should

remain under seal until the completion of his trial in Docket No. 216-2022-CR-577, which

is scheduled for jury selection on May 31, 2023. The defendant maintains that the facts

in the affidavit are unrelated to the charges and evidence to be introduced in the upcoming

trial, which revolves around the theft and possession of firearms. The defendant argues

the release of the graphic details of the murder investigation so close to the trial will

unfairly prejudice him and taint the jury.

As noted above, WMUR-TV has not objected to the defendant's motion for

reconsideration, and has thus not articulated any compelling reasons for disclosure prior

to the upcoming trial. Given the close proximity to the trial in Docket No. 216-2022-CR-

577, the Court agrees with the defendant that public release of the affidavit at this time

would cause an unreasonable and unnecessary risk of prejudice to the defendant. The

affidavit shall remain under seal for a period of ten (10) days following the delivery of a

verdict in the upcoming trial. After that time, the affidavit shall be unsealed.

SO ORDERED.

May 15, 2023

Date

Amy B. Messer
Presiding Justice

Clerk's Notice of Decision Document Sent to Parties

on 05/15/2023

2